

Contents

	Page
Acknowledgements	5
<i>Part 1: Introduction</i>	
<hr/>	
Objectionable Material on the Internet	9
Intervention	11
Defining the Research	12
Offender Profiling	14
Research Purpose	16
Related Research and Hypothesis	16
<i>Part 2: Methodology</i>	
<hr/>	
Background and Development	21
Materials	22
Procedure	23
Sample	25
Data Analysis	26
<i>Part 3: Descriptive Results</i>	
<hr/>	
Demographic Details	31
Isolation Factors: Household composition, work environment and other factors	33
Detection	35
Nature of The Objectionable Material	40
Details of The Objectionable Material and Images	51
Non-objectionable Images and Material	54
History, occupation and associates	58
Computer Use and Skills	72
Apprehension and Prosecution	77
Danger posed by Offenders	83
Other Comments	88
Re-offence Data	95

Part 4: Multidimensional Scaling

Interpreting Smallest Space Analysis Plots	107
Smallest Space Analysis of Offender Selection of Objectionable Material	108
Other sections of the Classification Act	111
Multidimensional Scalogram Analysis of Offender Selection of Objectionable Material	114
Smallest Space Analysis of Variables Related to Risk of re-offence or offence in another area	116
Opportunity Indicators	117
Motivation Indicators	117
Multidimensional Scalogram Analysis of Offenders by Variables Related to Risk of re-offence or offence in another area	120
Multidimensional Scalogram Analysis of Offenders by Risk and Selection Variables	121
Multidimensional Scalogram Analysis of Offenders by Continuous computer-related and collection variables	123

Part 5: Discussion

Discussion	135
Conclusions	143

Part 6: References

References	149
------------	-----

Part 7: Appendices

Appendix A	153
Appendix B	155
Appendix C	175
Appendix D	183

First published in April 2004 by the Department of Internal Affairs Te Tari Taiwhenua

P O Box 805, Wellington, New Zealand

©Crown copyright 2004

ISBN 0-478-09285-7

A publications catalogue is also available at <http://www.dia.govt.nz>

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the following people and organisations for their contributions to this research project.

This research would not have been possible without the assistance of the Department of Internal Affairs Censorship Compliance Unit. I would like to thank the National Manager of Censorship Compliance and all of the Censorship Compliance Inspectors, for contributing their time, energy and expertise to developing, informing, and participating in the project.

I would also like to acknowledge all of those individuals who provided information and advice regarding the design of the data collection tool. In particular, Denise Ritchie of ECPAT New Zealand, Catherine Austin of the Office of Film and Literature Classification, Christine Jamieson of the New Zealand Customs Service, Wendy Searle of the Ministry of Justice, Gaynor Bradfield of the Department of Internal Affairs Policy Group, and Teresa Underhill formerly of the Video Recordings Authority.

A very special thanks to Dr. Samantha Lundrigan of the Institute of Criminology at Victoria University of Wellington for her involvement throughout the development of the research. Dr Lundrigan's assistance during the data analysis and reporting stages of the research process was greatly appreciated, and her knowledge and experience in criminology added significantly to the interpretation of the findings. Similarly, a big thank you to Carolyn Cavana of Gilmour McGregor and Associates Ltd for her supervisory support, and for professional feedback on the results of the research.

Acknowledgement is also due to the staff of the Department of Internal Affairs Research Services Unit for their personal and professional support. In particular, Margaret deJoux for allowing the time and resources to develop the research methodology, Melanie Takarangi for her significant contribution towards the data entry and analysis process, and Diana Dallas for her involvement in the consultation and design process, and for assisting me to work through issues associated with exposure to the material under investigation. A huge thanks also to Nigel Bond for his involvement in reviewing the literature used in this report, and for providing numerous insightful suggestions and encouragement during its preparation.

In addition, I would like to acknowledge David Wilson of the Department of Internal Affairs Policy Group for taking an interest in this project, and for investing the time and energy needed to maintain its momentum and ensure completion. Through this involvement, David managed to obtain invaluable peer review and constructive feedback from Dr Maxwell Taylor, Professor of Applied Psychology at the University of Cork, Dr Russell G. Smith of the Australian Institute of Criminology, and Dr Devon Polaschek of the Victoria University of Wellington School of Psychology. A special thanks to each of these individuals for sharing their knowledge and insights and helping to improve the quality of the final report.

